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Is EPOC Large Enough to Cause Weight Loss?

Metabolic rate is not static; it varies throughout the day based on food intake, time of day, and physical activity. Changes in metabolic rate during the post-exercise recovery period following an exercise session have received much attention in both popular and scientific literature. The recovery period is typically biphasic with an initial recovery period lasting 10 seconds up to several minutes, and a second slow phase that may last up to several hours (13). During this time, oxygen consumption is elevated due to increased catecholamine release, increased cardiac and pulmonary function, lactate and H⁺ removal, and to help restore metabolic processes to baseline levels (3). This increased oxygen consumption has been termed excess post-exercise oxygen consumption (EPOC). EPOC, and the added calories used that accompanies the post-exercise increase in metabolism, has been proposed as a potentially important factor in weight loss (14).

Factors Affecting EPOC

Program design and the manipulation of variables such as intensity and duration can have a significant effect on the outcome of a training program. Aerobic exercise intensity has long been known to have an effect on EPOC (11,12). EPOC value, which are measured in L/min of oxygen consumption or Kcal/min, have been seen to more than double when increasing intensity from 55 to 95% of VO₂ max for two-minute intervals (20). Even when controlled for total energy expenditure during the exercise session, increasing exercise intensity from 50 to 75% VO₂ max results in an almost doubling of EPOC (16,19). While exercise intensity is the most important contributor to EPOC, accounting for 45.5% of the systematic variance in EPOC at exercise intensities above 50% of VO₂ max, there is a linear relationship between the duration of EPOC and the duration of the exercise session (2,5,6). This relationship holds true for both submaximal and supramaximal exercise.

EPOC and Supramaximal Work

The magnitude of EPOC increases with increased exercise intensity for both submaximal and supramaximal exercises (exercise at an intensity greater than VO₂ max) (5). As such, it has been speculated that the increased metabolic rate associated with EPOC is one of the primary contributing factors to the success of high intensity interval training (HIIT) programs for weight loss (3,7,18,22). Compared to continuous, lower intensity activity, sprint intervals create a significantly greater increase in EPOC. Laforgia, Withers, Ship, and Gore compared 30 min of continuous running at 70% VO₂ max with 20 x 1-min intervals at 105% VO₂ max with a 2-min rest period (14). They found nine-hour EPOC values of 6.9 ± 3.8 and 15.0 ± 3.3 liters of O₂ for the submaximal and supramaximal treatments, respectively. Even though the interval session produced a higher EPOC, the authors suggest that since this is the equivalent in kJ of about 75 mL of orange juice and that the EPOC was of little physiological significance to the subjects’ energy balance, the major contribution to weight loss would be through the energy expended during the actual exercise session (14). Even when the duration or volume of intervals are manipulated the increase in EPOC, although statistically significant, are probably not enough to play a major role in weight loss.

Tanaka, Shibuya, and Ogaki examined the EPOC of 7 x 30-s intervals with 15-s rest periods at 150% of VO₂ max (21). They found that the three-hour EPOC value was 10.5 ± 2.4 L of oxygen, which amounts to approximately 50 kcal over the period of three hours or 16 kcal per hour (21). Bahr, Gronnerod, and Sejersted examined the effects of sprint volume
on EPOC, having subjects perform 1, 2, or 3 x 120-s sprints at 108% of \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max (1). They found that higher volumes of sprint training elicit a higher EPOC, but that even at the 3 x 120-s sprint, the four-hour EPOC total was 16.3 ± 3.01 L of oxygen, or about 80 kcal of extra energy expenditure (1).

Similar results have been seen in resistance training studies. Kelleher et al. examined the differences between traditional and superset resistance exercise on post-exercise energy expenditure (9). They found that while supersetting produced a 33% greater EPOC, the energy expenditure during the 60-min recovery period amounted to only 18.96 ± 1.79 kcal. The superset exercise session required 241.23 ± 17.06 kcal (9).

While HIIT programs have gained in popularity as a tool for promoting fat or weight loss, the benefits of these programs are likely due to factors such as energy expended during training, changes in insulin sensitivity, or decreased appetite rather than EPOC, as the EPOC values are quite modest, accounting for less than 15% of total exercise and recovery energy expenditure (3,13).

Long-Term Effects of EPOC

An increased metabolic rate after exercise can occur for up to 24 hr with appropriate combinations of duration and intensity (13). Even though the amount of energy expenditure post-exercise is small, it could be argued that small increases over an extended period of time can amount to significant calories burned. In a metabolic chamber study of 24-hr energy expenditure, the authors found that EPOC amounted to 35 ± 78 kcal on a day that involved periods of slow walking, brisk walking, and jogging at 65% \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max, an amount that was not statistically significant (8). A more recent study found an increase of 190 kcal in metabolic rate over a 14.2-hr period following an exercise session, which is only 13.4 kcal per hour, or the equivalent of about two almonds (10). The exercise session resulted in an expenditure of 519 kcal.

There is also no difference in the 24-hr rate of fat use following exercise, regardless of intensity (15,17,23). Warren, Howden, Williams, Fell, and Johnson found after their comparisons of long and short duration exercise, high versus low intensity exercise and continuous versus interval exercise, concluded that even though there was an increase in fat oxidation during the recovery period following long duration and high-intensity interventions, the amount of fat oxidized after exercise may be inconsequential compared with what was oxidized during the exercise bout (24). This suggests that EPOC is not a primary contributor to the energy cost of exercise and that it does not alter substrate use enough to be of consequence in a weight loss program.

Conclusion

Long-term adherence to exercise or physical activity is key for weight loss and maintenance of weight loss. The duration or intensity of activity required to elicit a prolonged EPOC are probably not well tolerated by obese or overweight untrained people (13). This is particularly true of higher intensity exercise, where even modest increases in intensity over a comfortable self-selected pace greatly diminish exercise enjoyment and adherence (4). Many popular infomercials and programs base their weight loss and fat burning claims on increased EPOC, yet EPOC values are modest compared to the actual energy expenditure from the exercise session itself, accounting for only 6 – 15% of the total energy cost (13). There is no evidence that EPOC alone can significantly contribute to weight loss.
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